



Donor 4321

Genetic Testing Summary

Fairfax Cryobank recommends reviewing this genetic testing summary with your healthcare provider to determine suitability.

Last Updated: 01/16/23

Donor Reported Ancestry: Indian

Jewish Ancestry: No

Genetic Test*	Result	Comments/Donor's Residual Risk**
Chromosome analysis (karyotype)	Normal male karyotype	No evidence of clinically significant chromosome abnormalities
Hemoglobin evaluation	Normal hemoglobin fractionation and MCV/MCH results	Reduced risk to be a carrier for sickle cell anemia, beta thalassemia, alpha thalassemia trait (aa/-- and a-/a-) and other hemoglobinopathies
Cystic Fibrosis (CF) carrier screening	Negative for 99 mutations in the CFTR gene	1/190
Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) carrier screening	Negative for deletions of exon 7 in the SMN1 gene	1/380
Hb Beta Chain-Related Hemoglobinopathy (including Beta Thalassemia and Sickle Cell Disease)	Negative for 28 mutations tested by genotyping in the HBB gene	1/330
Special Testing		
Gitelman Syndrome	Negative by sequencing in the SLC12A3 gene	1/1667
Genes: PMM2, GALC, HPS3	Negative by gene sequencing	See attached for residual risks

*No single test can screen for all genetic disorders. A negative screening result significantly reduces, but cannot eliminate, the risk for these conditions in a pregnancy.

**Donor residual risk is the chance the donor is still a carrier after testing negative.

Ordering Practice:Practice Code: [REDACTED]
Fairfax CryobankPhysician: [REDACTED]
Report Generated: 2017-12-07
Report Updated: 2017-12-07

Donor 4321DOB: [REDACTED]
Gender: Male
Ethnicity: South East Asian
Procedure ID: 108849
Kit Barcode: [REDACTED]
Specimen: Sperm, #110693
Specimen Collection: 2017-11-28
Specimen Received: 2017-11-29
Specimen Analyzed: 2017-12-07**TEST INFORMATION**Test: CarrierMap^{SEQ} (Genotyping & Sequencing)
Panel: Custom Panel
Diseases Tested: 1
Genes Tested: 1
Genes Sequenced: 1

Partner Not Tested

SUMMARY OF RESULTS: NO MUTATIONS IDENTIFIED

Donor 4321 was not identified to carry any pathogenic mutations in the gene(s) tested.

No pathogenic mutations were identified in the genes tested, reducing but not eliminating the chance to be a carrier for the associated genetic diseases. CarrierMap assesses carrier status for genetic disease via molecular methods including targeted mutation analysis and/ or next-generation sequencing; other methodologies such as CBC and hemoglobin electrophoresis for hemoglobinopathies and enzyme analysis for Tay-Sachs disease may further refine risks for these conditions. Results should be interpreted in the context of clinical findings, family history, and/or other testing. A list of all the diseases and mutations screened for is included at the end of the report. This test does not screen for every possible genetic disease.

For additional disease information, please visit recombine.com/diseases. To speak with a Genetic Counselor, call [855.OUR.GENES](tel:855.OUR.GENES).

Assay performed by 
Reprogenetics
CLIA ID: 31D1054821
3 Regent Street, Livingston, NJ 07039
Lab Technician: Bo Chu

Recombine CLIA # 31D2100763
Reviewed by Pere Colls, PhD, HCLD, Lab Director

Methods and Limitations

Genotyping: Genotyping is performed using the Illumina Infinium Custom HD Genotyping assay to identify mutations in the genes tested. The assay is not validated for homozygous mutations, and it is possible that individuals affected with disease may not be accurately genotyped.

Sequencing: Sequencing is performed using a custom next-generation sequencing (NGS) platform. Only the described exons for each gene listed are sequenced. Variants outside of these regions may not be identified. Some splicing mutations may not be identified. Triplet repeat expansions, intronic mutations, and large insertions and deletions may not be detected. All identified variants are curated, and determination of the likelihood of their pathogenicity is made based on examining allele frequency, segregation studies, predicted effect, functional studies, case/control studies, and other analyses. All variants identified via sequencing that are reported to cause disease in the primary scientific literature will be reported. Variants considered to be benign and variants of unknown significance (VUS) are NOT reported. In the sequencing process, interval drop-out may occur, leading to intervals of insufficient coverage. Intervals of insufficient coverage will be reported if they occur.

Limitations: In some cases, genetic variations other than that which is being assayed may interfere with mutation detection, resulting in false-negative or false-positive results. Additional sources of error include, but are not limited to: sample contamination, sample mix-up, bone marrow transplantation, blood transfusions, and technical errors. The test does not test for all forms of genetic disease, birth defects, and intellectual disability. All results should be interpreted in the context of family history; additional evaluation may be indicated based on a history of these conditions. Additional testing may be necessary to determine mutation phase in individuals identified to carry more than one mutation in the same gene. All mutations included within the genes assayed may not be detected, and additional testing may be appropriate for some individuals.

This test was developed and its performance determined by Recombine, Inc., and it has not been cleared or approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA has determined that such clearance or approval is not necessary.

Diseases & Mutations Assayed

Gitelman Syndrome (SLC12A3): Mutations (11): ♂ Genotyping | c.1926-1G>T, c.2883+1G>T, c.1046C>T (p.P348L), c.1763C>T (p.A588V), c.622C>T (p.R208W), c.1889G>T (p.G629V), c.1961G>A (p.R654H), c.1868T>C (p.L623P), c.1180+1G>T (IVS9+1G>T), c.1670-191C>T, c.2548+253C>T Sequencing | NM_000339:1-26

Residual Risk Information

Detection rates are calculated from the primary literature and may not be available for all ethnic populations. The values listed below are for genotyping. Sequencing provides higher detection rates and lower residual risks for each disease. More precise values for sequencing may become available in the future.

Disease	Carrier Rate	Detection Rate	Residual Risk
Gitelman Syndrome	♂ European: 1/100	35.00%	1/154
	♂ European Gypsy: Unknown	>99%	Unknown
	♂ General: 1/101	30.00%	1/144
	♂ Taiwanese: Unknown	64.29%	Unknown



Carrier screening report
 Donor 4321
 Date of Birth: [REDACTED]
 Sema4 ID: [REDACTED]

Patient Information

Name: Donor 4321
 Date of Birth: [REDACTED]
 Sema4 ID: [REDACTED]
 Client ID: [REDACTED]
 Indication: Carrier Screening

Specimen Information

Specimen Type: Purified DNA
 Date Collected: 11/30/2022

Referring Provider

Harvey Stern, M.D.
 Genetics & IVF Institute
 3015 Williams Drive
 Fairfax, VA, 22031
 Fax:

Custom Carrier Screen (3 genes) with Personalized Residual Risk

SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Negative

Negative for all genes tested: *GALC, HPS3, and PMM2*

To view a full list of genes and diseases tested
 please see Table 1 in this report

AR=Autosomal recessive, XL=X-linked

Recommendations

- Consideration of residual risk by ethnicity after a negative carrier screen is recommended for the other diseases on the panel, especially in the case of a positive family history for a specific disorder. Please note that residual risks for X-linked diseases (including full repeat expansions for Fragile X syndrome) may not be accurate for males and the actual residual risk is likely to be lower.
- As genetic technologies may improve and variant classifications may change over time, it is recommended to obtain a new carrier screening test or reanalysis when a new pregnancy is being considered.

Test description

This patient was tested for the genes listed above using one or more of the following methodologies: target capture and short-read sequencing, long-range PCR followed by short-read sequencing, targeted genotyping, and/or copy number analysis. Please note that negative results reduce but do not eliminate the possibility that this individual is a carrier for one or more of the disorders tested. Please see Table 1 for a list of genes and diseases tested with the patient's personalized residual risk. If personalized residual risk is not provided, please see the complete residual risk table at go.sema4.com/residualrisk. Only known pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants are reported. This carrier screening test does not report likely benign variants and variants of uncertain significance (VUS). If reporting of likely benign variants and VUS are desired in this patient, please contact the laboratory at 800-298-6470, option 2 to request an amended report.

Rebekah Zimmerman, Ph.D., FACMG, Laboratory Director



Carrier screening report
 Donor 4321
 Date of Birth: [REDACTED]
 Sema4 ID: [REDACTED]

Genes and diseases tested

The personalized residual risks listed below are specific to this individual. The complete residual risk table is available at go.sema4.com/residualrisk

Table 1: List of genes and diseases tested with detailed results:

Disease	Gene	Inheritance Pattern	Status	Detailed Summary
⊖ Negative				
Congenital Disorder of Glycosylation, Type Ia	<i>PMM2</i>	AR	Reduced Risk	Personalized Residual Risk: 1 in 2,000 1/2000
Hermansky-Pudlak Syndrome, Type 3	<i>HPS3</i>	AR	Reduced Risk	Personalized Residual Risk: 1 in 1,800 1/1800
Krabbe Disease	<i>GALC</i>	AR	Reduced Risk	Personalized Residual Risk: 1 in 390 1/390

AR=Autosomal recessive; XL=X-linked

Test methods and comments

Genomic DNA isolated from this patient was analyzed by one or more of the following methodologies, as applicable:

Fragile X CGG Repeat Analysis (Analytical Detection Rate >99%)

PCR amplification using Asuragen, Inc. AmpliX[®] *FMR1* PCR reagents followed by capillary electrophoresis for allele sizing was performed. Samples positive for *FMR1* premutations and full mutations greater than 90 CGG repeats in length were further analyzed by Southern blot analysis or methylation PCR to assess the size and methylation status of the *FMR1* CGG repeat. Additional testing to determine the status of AGG interruptions within the *FMR1* CGG repeat will be automatically performed for premutation alleles ranging from 55 to 90 repeats. These results, which may modify risk for expansion, will follow in a separate report.

Genotyping (Analytical Detection Rate >99%)

Multiplex PCR amplification and single-base pair probe extension analyses using the Agena Bioscience iPLEX Pro chemistry on a MassARRAY[®] System were used to identify certain recurrent variants that are complex in nature or are present in low copy repeats. Rare sequence variants may interfere with assay performance.

Multiplex Ligation-Dependent Probe Amplification (MLPA) (Analytical Detection Rate >99%)

Conventional MLPA and/or digitalMLPA[®] probe sets and reagents from MRC-Holland were used for copy number variations (CNV) analysis of specific targets versus known control samples. digitalMLPA[®] is a semi-quantitative technique, based on the well-established conventional MLPA method, followed by Illumina based sequencing to determine read number for amplicon quantification. False positive or negative results may occur due to rare sequence variants in target regions detected by conventional MLPA or digitalMLPA[®] probes. Analytical sensitivity and specificity of both the conventional MLPA method and the digitalMLPA[®] method are greater than 99%.

For alpha thalassemia, the copy numbers of the *HBA1* and *HBA2* genes were analyzed. Alpha-globin gene deletions, duplications, and the Constant Spring (CS) mutation are assessed. This test is expected to detect approximately 90% of all alpha-thalassemia mutations, varying by ethnicity. Carriers of alpha-thalassemia with three or more *HBA* copies on one chromosome, and one or no copies on the other chromosome may not be precisely specified without phase analysis. With the exception of duplications, other benign alpha-globin gene polymorphisms will not be reported. Analyses of *HBA1* and *HBA2* are performed in association with long-range PCR of the coding regions followed by short-read sequencing.

For Duchenne muscular dystrophy, the copy numbers of all *DMD* exons were analyzed. Potentially pathogenic single exon deletions and duplications are confirmed by a second method. Analysis of *DMD* is performed in association with sequencing of the coding regions.

For congenital adrenal hyperplasia, the copy number of the *CYP21A2* gene was analyzed. This analysis can detect large deletions typically due to unequal meiotic crossing-over between *CYP21A2* and the pseudogene *CYP21A1P*. Classic 30-kb deletions make up approximately 20% of *CYP21A2* pathogenic alleles. This test may also identify certain point mutations in *CYP21A2* caused by gene conversion events between *CYP21A2* and *CYP21A1P*. Some carriers may not be identified by dosage sensitive methods as this testing cannot detect individuals with two



Carrier screening report
 Donor 4311
 Date of Birth: [REDACTED]
 Sema4 ID: [REDACTED]

copies (duplication) of the *CYP21A2* gene on one chromosome and loss of *CYP21A2* (deletion) on the other chromosome. Analysis of *CYP21A2* is performed in association with long-range PCR of the coding regions followed by short-read sequencing.

For spinal muscular atrophy (SMA), the copy numbers of the *SMN1* and *SMN2* genes were analyzed. The individual dosage of exons 7 and 8 as well as the combined dosage of exons 1, 4, 6 and 8 of *SMN1* and *SMN2* were assessed. Copy number gains and losses can be detected. Depending on ethnicity, 6 - 29 % of carriers will not be identified by dosage sensitive methods as this testing cannot distinguish individuals with two copies (duplication) of the *SMN1* gene on one chromosome and loss of *SMN1* (deletion) on the other chromosome (silent 2:0 carrier) or identify intragenic mutation in *SMN1*. Please also note that 2% of individuals diagnosed with SMA have a causative *SMN1* variant that occurred de novo, therefore cannot be picked up by carrier screening in the parents. Analysis of *SMN1* is performed in association with short-read sequencing of exons 2a-7, followed by confirmation using long-range PCR (described below).

In individuals with two copies of *SMN1* with Ashkenazi Jewish, East Asian, African American, Native American or Caucasian ancestry, the presence or absence of c.3>80T>G significantly increases or decreases, respectively, the likelihood of being a silent 2:0 carrier.

MLPA for Gaucher disease (*GBA*), cystic fibrosis (*CFTR*), and non-syndromic hearing loss (*GJB2/GJB6*) will only be performed if indicated for confirmation of detected CNVs. If *GBA* analysis was performed, the copy numbers of exons 1, 3, 4, and 6 - 10 of the *GBA* gene (of 11 exons total) were analyzed. If *CFTR* analysis was performed, the copy numbers of all 27 *CFTR* exons were analyzed. If *GJB2/GJB6* analysis was performed, the copy number of the two *GJB2* exons were analyzed, as well as the presence or absence of the two upstream deletions of the *GJB2* regulatory region, del(*GJB6*-D13S1830) and del(*GJB6*-D13S1854).

Next Generation Sequencing (NGS) (Analytical Detection Rate >95%)

NGS was performed on a panel of genes for the purpose of identifying pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants.

Agilent SureSelect™XT Low Input technology was used with a custom capture library to target the exonic regions and intron/exon splice junctions of the relevant genes, as well as a number of UTR, intronic or promoter regions that contain previously reported mutations. Libraries were pooled and sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq 6000 platform, using paired-end 100 bp reads. The sequencing data was analyzed using a custom bioinformatics algorithm designed and validated in house.

The coding exons and splice junctions of the known protein-coding RefSeq genes were assessed for the average depth of coverage (minimum of 20X) and data quality threshold values. Most exons not meeting a minimum of >20X read depth across the exon are further analyzed by Sanger sequencing. Please note that several genomic regions present difficulties in mapping or obtaining read depth >20X. These regions which are described below, will not be reflexed to Sanger sequencing if the mapping quality or coverage is poor. Any variants identified during testing in these regions are confirmed by a second method and reported if determined to be pathogenic or likely pathogenic. However, as there is a possibility of false negative results within these regions, detection rates and residual risks for these genes have been calculated with the presumption that variants in these exons will not be detected, unless included in the MassARRAY® genotyping platform.

Exceptions: *ABCD1* (NM_000033.3) exons 8 and 9; *ACADSB* (NM_001809.3) chr10:124,810,695-124,810,707 (partial exon 9); *ADA* (NM_000022.2) exon 1; *ADAMTS2* (NM_014244.4) exon 1; *AGPS* (NM_003659.3) chr21:78,257,512-178,257,649 (partial exon 1); *ALDH7A1* (NM_001182.4) chr5:125,911,150-125,911,163 (partial exon 7) and chr5:125,896,807-125,896,821 (partial exon 10); *ALMS1* (NM_015120.4) chr2:73,812,990-73,813,041 (partial exon 1); *APOPT1* (NM_032374.4) chr14:104,040,437-104,040,455 (partial exon 3); *CDAN1* (NM_138477.2) exon 2; *CEP350* (NM_014985.3) chr15:49,061,146-49,061,165 (partial exon 14) and exon 22; *CEP290* (NM_025114.3) exon 5, exon 7, chr12:88,519,017-88,519,039 (partial exon 13), chr12:88,514,049-88,514,058 (partial exon 15), chr12:88,502,837-88,502,841 (partial exon 23), chr12:88,481,551-88,481,589 (partial exon 32), chr12:88,471,605-88,471,700 (partial exon 40); *CFTR* (NM_000492.3) exon 10; *COL4A4* (NM_000092.4) chr2:227,942,604-227,942,619 (partial exon 25); *COX10* (NM_001303.3) exon 6; *CYP11B1* (NM_000497.3) exons 3-7; *CYP11B2* (NM_000498.3) exons 3-7; *DNAI2* (NM_023036.4) chr17:72,308,135-72,308,147 (partial exon 12); *DOK7* (NM_173660.4) chr4:3,465,131-3,465,161 (partial exon 1) and exon 2; *DUOX2* (NM_014080.4) exons 6-8; *EIF2AK1* (NM_004836.5) exon 8; *EVC* (NM_153717.2) exon 1; *F5* (NM_000130.4) chr1:169,551,662-169,551,679 (partial exon 2); *FH* (NM_000143.3) exon 1; *GAMT* (NM_000156.5) exon 1; *GLDC* (NM_000170.2) exon 1; *GNPTAB* (NM_024312.4) chr17:4,837,000-4,837,400 (partial exon 2); *GNPTG* (NM_032522.2) exon 1; *GHR* (NM_000163.4) exon 3; *GYS2* (NM_021957.3) chr12:21,699,370-21,699,409 (partial exon 12); *HGSNAT* (NM_152419.2) exon 1; *IDS* (NM_000203.6) exon 3; *ITGB4* (NM_000213.4) chr17:73,749,976-73,750,060 (partial exon 33); *JAK3* (NM_000215.3) chr19:17,950,462-17,950,483 (partial exon 10); *LITFR* (NM_002310.5) exon 19; *LMBRD1* (NM_018368.3) chr6:70,459,226-70,459,257 (partial exon 5), chr6:70,447,828-70,447,833 (partial exon 7) and exon 12; *LYST* (NM_000081.3) chr1:235,944,158-235,944,176 (partial exon 16) and chr1:235,875,350-235,875,362 (partial exon 43); *MLYCD* (NM_012213.2) chr16:83,933,242-83,933,282 (partial exon 1); *MTR* (NM_000254.2) chr1:237,024,418-237,024,439 (partial exon 20) and chr1:237,038,019-237,038,025 (partial exon 24); *NBEAL2* (NM_015175.2) chr3:47,021,385-47,021,407 (partial exon 1); *NEB* (NM_001271208.1) exons 82-105; *NPC1* (NM_000271.4) chr18:21,123,519-21,123,538 (partial exon 14); *NPHP1* (NM_000272.3) chr21:10,937,251-110,937,263 (partial exon 3); *OCLL1* (NM_000276.3) chrX:128,674,450-128,674,460 (partial exon 1); *PHKB* (NM_000293.2) exon 1 and chr16:47,732,498-47,732,504 (partial exon 30); *PIGM* (NM_175787.4) chr18:59,815,547-59,815,576 (partial exon 8); *PIP5K1C* (NM_012398.2) exon 1 and chr9:3637602-3637616 (partial exon 17); *POU1F1* (NM_000305.3) exon 5; *PTPRC* (NM_002838.4) exons 11 and 23; *PUS1* (NM_025215.5) chr12:132,414,446-132,414,532 (partial exon 2); *RPGRIP1L* (NM_015272.2) exon 23; *SGSH* (NM_000199.3) chr17:78,194,022-78,194,072 (partial exon 1); *SLC6A8* (NM_005629.3) exons 3 and 4; *ST3GAL5* (NM_003896.3) exon 1



Carrier screening report
 Donor 4323
 Date of Birth [REDACTED]
 Sema4 ID [REDACTED]

SURF1 (NM_003172.3) chr9:136,223,269-136,223,307 (partial exon 1); *TRPM6* (NM_017662.4) chr9:77,362,800-77,362,811 (partial exon 31); *TSEN51* (NM_207346.2) exon 1; *TYR* (NM_000372.4) exon 5; *VWF* (NM_000552.3) exons 24-26, chr12:6,125,675-6,125,684 (partial exon 30); chr12:6,121,244-6,121,265 (partial exon 33), and exon 34.

This test will detect variants within the exons and the intron-exon boundaries of the target regions. Variants outside these regions may not be detected, including, but not limited to, UTRs, promoters, and deep intronic areas, or regions that fall into the Exceptions mentioned above. This technology may not detect all small insertion/deletions and is not diagnostic for repeat expansions and structural genomic variation. In addition, a mutation(s) in a gene not included on the panel could be present in this patient.

Variant interpretation and classification was performed based on the American College of Medical Genetics Standards and Guidelines for the Interpretation of Sequence Variants (Richards et al, 2015). All potentially pathogenic variants may be confirmed by either a specific genotyping assay or Sanger sequencing, if indicated. Any benign variants, likely benign variants or variants of uncertain significance identified during this analysis will not be reported.

Next Generation Sequencing for *SMN1*

Exonic regions and intron/exon splice junctions of *SMN1* and *SMN2* were captured, sequenced, and analyzed as described above. Any variants located within exons 2a-7 and classified as pathogenic or likely pathogenic were confirmed to be in either *SMN1* or *SMN2* using gene-specific long-range PCR analysis followed by Sanger sequencing. Variants located in exon 1 cannot be accurately assigned to either *SMN1* or *SMN2* using our current methodology, and so these variants are not reported.

Copy Number Variant (CNV) Analysis (Analytical Detection Rate >98% for CNVs of 3 exons and larger, >90% for CNVs of 2 exons)

Large duplications and deletions were called from the relative read depths on an exon-by-exon basis using a custom exome hidden Markov model (XHMM) algorithm. Deletions or duplications determined to be pathogenic or likely pathogenic were confirmed by either a custom arrayCGH platform, quantitative PCR, or MLPA (depending on CNV size and gene content). While this algorithm is designed to pick up deletions and duplications of 2 or more exons in length, potentially pathogenic single-exon CNVs will be confirmed and reported, if detected. Deletions and duplications near the lower limit of detection may not be detected due to run variability. Genomic regions with high homology or highly repetitive sequences are excluded from this analysis.

Exon Array Comparative Genomic Hybridization (aCGH) (Confirmation method) (Accuracy >99%)

The customized oligonucleotide microarray (Oxford Gene Technology) is a highly-targeted exon-focused array capable of detecting medically relevant microdeletions and microduplications at a much higher resolution than traditional aCGH methods. Each array matrix has approximately 1,000,000 60-mer oligonucleotide probes that cover the entire genome. This platform is designed based on human genome NCBI Build 37 (hg19) and the CGH probes are enriched to target the exonic regions of the genes in this panel.

Quantitative PCR (Confirmation method) (Accuracy >99%)

The relative quantification PCR is utilized on a Roche SYBR Green reagents on a LightCycler[®] 480 System, which relates the PCR signal of the target region in one group to another. To test for genomic imbalances, both sample DNA and reference DNA is amplified with primer/probe sets that specific to the target region and a control region with known genomic copy number. Relative genomic copy numbers are calculated based on the standard $\Delta\Delta C_t$ formula.

Long-Range PCR (Analytical Detection Rate >99%)

Long-range PCR was performed to generate locus-specific amplicons for *CYP21A2*, *HBA1* and *HBA2* and *GBA*. The PCR products were then prepared for short-read NGS sequencing and sequenced. Sequenced reads were mapped back to the original genomic locus and run through the bioinformatics pipeline. If indicated, copy number from MLPA was correlated with the sequencing output to analyze the results. Please note that in rare cases, allele drop-out may occur, which has the potential to lead to false negative results. For *CYP21A2*, a certain percentage of healthy individuals carry a duplication of the *CYP21A2* gene, which has no clinical consequences. In cases where multiple copies of *CYP21A2* are located on the same chromosome in tandem, only the last copy will be amplified and assessed for potentially pathogenic variants, due to size limitations of the PCR reaction. However, because these alleles contain at least two copies of the *CYP21A2* gene in tandem, it is expected that this patient has at least one functional gene in the tandem allele and this patient is therefore less likely to be a carrier. A *CYP21A1P/CYP21A2* hybrid gene detected only by MLPA but not by long-range PCR will not be reported when the long-range PCR indicates the presence of two full *CYP21A2* gene copies (one on each chromosome), as the additional hybrid gene is nonfunctional. Classic 30-kb deletions are identified by MLPA and are also identified by the presence of multiple common pathogenic *CYP21A2* variants by long-range PCR. Since multiple pseudogene-derived variants are detected in all cases with the classic 30kb deletion, we cannot rule out the possibility that some variant(s) detected could be present in trans with the chimeric *CYP21A1P/CYP21A2* gene created by the 30kb deletion. When an individual carries both a duplication allele and a pathogenic variant, or multiple pathogenic variants, the current analysis may not be able to determine the phase (cis/trans configuration) of the *CYP21A2* alleles identified. Family studies may be required in certain scenarios where phasing is required to determine the carrier status.



Carrier screening report
 Donor 4331
 Date of Birth: [REDACTED]
 Sema4 ID: [REDACTED]

Residual Risk Calculations

Carrier frequencies and detection rates for each ethnicity were calculated through the combination of internal curations of >30,000 variants and genomic frequency data from >138,000 individuals across seven ethnic groups in the gnomAD database. Additional variants in HGMD and novel deleterious variants were also incorporated into the calculation. Residual risk values are calculated using a Bayesian analysis combining the *a priori* risk of being a pathogenic mutation carrier (carrier frequency) and the detection rate. They are provided only as a guide for assessing approximate risk given a negative result, and values will vary based on the exact ethnic background of an individual. This report does not represent medical advice but should be interpreted by a genetic counselor, medical geneticist or physician skilled in genetic result interpretation and the relevant medical literature.

Personalized Residual Risk Calculations

Agilent SureSelect™XT Low-Input technology was utilized in order to create whole-genome libraries for each patient sample. Libraries were then pooled and sequenced on the Illumina NovaSeq platform. Each sequencing lane was multiplexed to achieve 0.4-2x genome coverage, using paired-end 100 bp reads. The sequencing data underwent ancestral analysis using a customized, licensed bioinformatics algorithm that was validated in house. Identified sub-ethnic groupings were binned into one of 7 continental-level groups (African, East Asian, South Asian, Non-Finnish European, Finnish, Native American, and Ashkenazi Jewish) or, for those ethnicities that matched poorly to the continental-level groups, an 8th "unassigned" group, which were then used to select residual risk values for each gene. For individuals belonging to multiple high-level ethnic groupings, a weighting strategy was used to select the most appropriate residual risk. For genes that had insufficient data to calculate ethnic-specific residual risk values, or for sub-ethnic groupings that fell into the "unassigned" group, a "worldwide" residual risk was used. This "worldwide" residual risk was calculated using data from all available continental-level groups.

Several genes have multiple residual risks associated to reflect the likelihood of the tested individual being a carrier for different diseases that are attributed to non-overlapping pathogenic variants in that gene. When calculating the couples' combined reproductive risk, the highest residual risk for each patient was selected.

Sanger Sequencing (Confirmation method) (Accuracy >99%)

Sanger sequencing, as indicated, was performed using BigDye Terminator chemistry with the ABI 3730 DNA analyzer with target specific amplicons. It also may be used to supplement specific guaranteed target regions that fail NGS sequencing due to poor quality or low depth of coverage (<20 reads) or as a confirmatory method for NGS positive results. False negative results may occur if rare variants interfere with amplification or annealing.

Tay-Sachs Disease (TSD) Enzyme Analysis (Analytical Detection Rate ≥98%)

Hexosaminidase activity and Hex A% activity were measured by a standard heat-inactivation, fluorometric method using artificial 4-MU-β-N-acetyl glucosaminide (4-MUG) substrate. This assay is highly sensitive and accurate in detecting Tay-Sachs carriers and individuals affected with TSD. Normal ranges of Hex A% activity are 55.0-72.0 for white blood cells and 58.0-72.0 for plasma. It is estimated that less than 0.5% of Tay-Sachs carriers have non-carrier levels of percent Hex A activity, and therefore may not be identified by this assay. In addition, this assay may detect individuals that are carriers of or are affected with Sandhoff disease. False positive results may occur if benign variants, such as pseudodeficiency alleles, interfere with the enzymatic assay. False negative results may occur if both *HEXA* and *HEXB* pathogenic or pseudodeficiency variants are present in the same individual.

Please note that it is not possible to perform Tay-Sachs disease enzyme analysis on saliva samples, buccal swabs, tissue samples, semen samples, or on samples received as extracted DNA.

This test was developed, and its performance characteristics determined by Sema4 Opco, Inc. It has not been cleared or approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. FDA does not require this test to go through premarket FDA review. This test is used for clinical purposes. It should not be regarded as investigational or for research. This laboratory is certified under the Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments (CLIA) as qualified to perform high complexity clinical laboratory testing. These analyses generally provide highly accurate information regarding the patient's carrier or affected status. Despite this high level of accuracy, it should be kept in mind that there are many potential sources of diagnostic error, including misidentification of samples, polymorphisms, or other rare genetic variants that interfere with analysis. Families should understand that rare diagnostic errors may occur for these reasons.

SELECTED REFERENCES

Carrier Screening

Grody W et al. ACMG position statement on prenatal/preconception expanded carrier screening. *Genet Med*. 2013;15:482-3.

Fragile X syndrome:



Carrier screening report
Donor #323
Date of Birth: [REDACTED]
Sema4 ID: [REDACTED]

Chen L et al. An information-rich CGG repeat primed PCR that detects the full range of Fragile X expanded alleles and minimizes the need for Southern blot analysis. *J Mol Diag* 2010 12:589-600.

Spinal Muscular Atrophy:

Luo M et al. An Ashkenazi Jewish *SMN2* haplotype specific to duplication alleles improves pan-ethnic carrier screening for spinal muscular atrophy. *Genet Med* 2014 16:149-56.

Ashkenazi Jewish Disorders:

Scott SA et al. Experience with carrier screening and prenatal diagnosis for sixteen Ashkenazi Jewish Genetic Diseases. *Hum Mutat* 2010 31:1-11.

Aker G et al. Towards a unified approach for comprehensive reproductive carrier screening in the Ashkenazi, Sephardic, and Mizrahi Jewish populations. *Mol Genet Genomic Med* 2020 Feb 8(2):e1053.

Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy:

Flanigan KM et al. Mutational spectrum of *DMD* mutations in dystrophinopathy patients: application of modern diagnostic techniques to a large cohort. *Hum Mutat* 2009 30:1657-66.

Variant Classification:

Richards S et al. Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 2015 May;17(5):243-54.

Riggs ER, Andersen EF, Cherry AM, et al. Technical standards for the interpretation and reporting of constitutional copy-number variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Clinical Genome Resource (ClinGen) [published correction appears in *Genet Med*. 2021 Nov;23(11):2230]. *Genet Med*. 2020;22(2):245-257.

Additional disease-specific references available upon request.